# Memory and Neurolinguistic Function in the Deaf AG 18) T. S.

AF

*Lydia Wiernik* University of Edinburgh

#### Purpose

- To study the role of phonemic elements in the interaction between lexemes and memory through the Deaf community's relationship with language
- To revise questions on and develop insight into cognitive processes that influence Deaf memory
- To depart from the hearing canon and explore a broader spectrum of language perception
- To propose a revised Deaf language production model based on what we have learned

This paper will not attempt to determine whether the Deaf or the hearing have better memories.

#### Questions to consider

- How do the Deaf perceive and produce language?
- How does a hearing perspective, bias, or discrimination influence research on Deaf language production?
- How do we proceed in this domain of study?

Methodology

- Exploring phonological importance
- Analyzing memory tasks where the Deaf perform better than the hearing to discover what processes facilitate that strength
- Comparing studies on American Sign Language (ASL) and Japanese Sign Language (JSL)
- Creating a revised Deaf language production model

Key terms

Simultaneous Communication (SimCom): dual encoding of both orthography and signs

**ASL**: American Sign Language

JSL: Japanese Sign Language

**Logogens**: A representation of a word or other verbal unit in long-term memory, "activated by speech sounds, writing, or an object" (Oxford)

**Visuospatial memory**: Memory used to "identify, integrate, and analyze space and visual form, details, structure and spatial relations" (Oxford)

### The importance of phonetics

- Phonetics have been seen as crucial to language acquisition and production
- Dijkstra et al.'s "Recognition of cognates and interlingual homographs: The neglected role of phonology"
  - phonology plays a mediating role when orthography is different
  - phonological similarity between interlingual words = inhibitory contribution to reaction time for bilinguals
- Phonetic role in linguistic concepts: Cohort model
  - Speech perception
  - Speech production
  - How this translates to Deaf language perception and production

# Rethinking speech perception in the Deaf

- How would the Cohort model function without acoustic stimulus?
  - inhibitory factors of homophones and false friends are eliminated
  - Cohort model does not account for or represent all modes of communication
- Form's role
  - Orthography and phonology replaced by orthography and signs
- Simultaneous Communication
  - likened to a hearing person's bilingualism because of the two combined, simultaneously activated lexicons
  - dual encoding accounts for slower orthographic word recognition and processing speed
- Memory load
  - $\circ$  ~ In the Deaf and the hearing: memory  $\uparrow,$  performance  $\downarrow$

## How a hearing standard affects Deaf communication

• Lack of "accessible linguistic interaction with other signers and less than sufficient assistance [for] Deaf children during the language learning period" (Hamilton 408)

• Audism

- Forcing lip reading or speech onto a Deaf person
- The assumption that the only difference between Deaf children and hearing children is an ability to perceive sound
  - Neurocognitive discrepancies between the Deaf and the hearing
  - Deafness is not a learning disability
    - Education must also support the Deaf, otherwise systemic biases towards the hearing will enforce the incorrect notion that the hearing are "superior"

# Aspects of memory bolstered in the Deaf

- Visuospatial memory
- Auditory cortex becomes part of cognitive processing
  - Explains highetened locative and visual movement
- Performed in a superior way regarding recall of visual patterns and successive figures





The auditory cortex



Comparing JSL and ASL

Japanese

- Alphabets have no connection between orthography and phonology
- Meaning-based rather than sound-based
  - In essence: sign language
- 2,000+ kanji

English

- Inseparable connection between orthography and phonology
- Sound-based
- 26 letters

A	$\rightarrow$	$\Delta$	$\rightarrow$	NA	$\rightarrow$	山
×	$\rightarrow$	$\gg$	$\rightarrow$	火	$\rightarrow$	火
会	$\rightarrow$	谷	$\rightarrow$	木	$\rightarrow$	木
	$\rightarrow$		$\rightarrow$	金	$\rightarrow$	金
	$\rightarrow$	and the	$\rightarrow$	土	$\rightarrow$	土
	$\rightarrow$		$\rightarrow$	甲甲	$\rightarrow$	門

#### Comparing JSL and ASL, continued

- Flaherty and Moran's 2004 study
  - sequential memory in deaf and hearing students speaking English or Japanese
- Two experiments conducted
  - participants were tested first on a series of words, then on abstract lines and shapes
  - in both experiments, the Japanese participants outperformed the English
- The Japanese-speaking and the English-speaking used different strategies
  - Japanese-speaking: seeing sequence as a whole
  - English-speaking: seeing sequence in parts
- Hearing Japanese speakers also performed better than hearing English speakers

"Is a deficit in recall of English linguistic material more a function of the idiosyncrasies of

written English rather than a deficit in recall of written material?"

(Flaherty, "Deaf Signers" 40)

## How do language models help us understand cognition?

- allow for a detailed understanding of how the brain retrieves information
- able to isolate the role of memory within language production
- can narrow down variables of language-specific stimulus and when and where in cognition they come into play



An example of the Bock and Levelt model.

#### A new model

- Based on
  - Levelt's (1989) general structure
  - Grosjean's (2008) phases
  - Fromkin

     (1971), Garrett
     (1975),
     Butterworth
     (1979) Deaf
     models
  - de Bot's (2004)
     bilingual model



\* a deaf person's lexical plan could be visualized either as written words or as signs

#### Conclusion

- Necessity for Deaf instructors to teach Deaf children
  - Utilizing a Deaf lens rather than trying to conform to a hearing one
  - Equipped with an innate understanding of how their brains work
- Language production models and other linguistic models can only go so far in studying memory
  - brain scans and neurological tests allow for a view of the brain itself, not just how linguistic functions present verbally or nonverbally.



#### Works Cited

Cardin, Velia. "How Does the Brain Change in People Who Are Deaf?" *Action on Hearing Loss*, Royal National Institute for Deaf People, 18 Mar. 2018, www.actiononhearingloss.org.uk/live-well/our-community/our-blog/how-does-the-brain-change-in-people-who-are-deaf/.
 Dehn, Milton J. *Working Memory and Academic Learning: Assessment and Intervention*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2011.

Dijkstra, Ton, et al. "Recognition of Cognates and Interlingual Homographs: The Neglected Role of Phonology." *Journal of Memory and Language*, vol. 41, no. 4, 1999, pp. 496–518., doi:10.1006/jmla.1999.2654.

Flaherty, Mary. "Memory in the Deaf: A Cross-Cultural Study in English and Japanese." *American Annals of the Deaf*, vol. 145, no. 3, 2000, pp. 237-44. *JSTOR*, www.jstor.org/stable/44393192. Accessed 1 Feb. 2020.

Flaherty, Mary, and Aidan Moran. "Deaf Signers Who Know Japanese Remember Words and Numbers More Effectively than Deaf Signers Who Know English." *American Annals of the Deaf*, vol. 149, no. 1, 2004, pp. 39-45. *JSTOR*, www.jstor.org/stable/26234640. Accessed 1 Feb. 2020.

Grosjean, François. "THE PRODUCTION of SIGN LANGUAGE: PSYCHOLINGUISTIC PERSPECTIVES." *Sign Language Studies*, no. 25, 1979, pp. 317-29. *JSTOR*, www.jstor.org/stable/26203473. Accessed 1 Feb. 2020.

Hamilton, Harley. "Memory Skills of Deaf Learners." *American Annals of the Deaf*, vol. 156, no. 4, 2011, pp. 402-23. *JSTOR*, www.jstor.org/stable/26235168. Accessed 1 Feb. 2020.

"The Motor Cortex." The Brain From Top to Bottom, McGill University, thebrain.mcgill.ca/flash/d/d\_06/d\_06\_cr/d\_06\_cr\_mou/d\_06\_cr\_mou.html.